Flats and flat torus theorem in systolic spaces

Tomasz Elsner*

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: elsner@math.uni.wroc.pl

Abstract: We prove the Systolic Flat Torus Theorem, which completes the list of results that are simultaneously true for systolic geometry and CAT(0) geometry.

We develop the theory of minimal surfaces in systolic complexes, which is a powerful tool in studying systolic complexes. We prove that flat minimal surfaces in a systolic complex are almost isometrically embedded and introduce a local condition for flat surfaces which implies minimality. We also prove that minimal surfaces are stable under small deformations of their boundaries.

Keywords: systolic complex, systolic group, minimal surface, flat, flat torus

AMS Classification numbers Primary: 20F65, 20F67 Secondary: 53C21

Contents

1. Introduction

Systolic complexes were introduced by Tadeusz Januszkiewicz and Jacek Świątkowski in [JS1] and independently by Frédéric Haglund in [Ha]. They are connected simply connected simplicial complexes satisfying certain local combinatorial condition (see Definition

* Partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego), MNiSW grant N201 037 32/2679 for years 2007–2008 and MNiSW grant N201 012 32/0718 for years 2007–2010.

2.1 for details), which is a simplicial analogue of nonpositive curvature. Systolic complexes have many properties similar to properties of CAT(0)-spaces; however, systolicity neither implies, nor is implied by nonpositive curvature of the complex equipped with the piecewise euclidean metric for which simplices are regular euclidean simplices.

In the study of CAT(0)-spaces it is often important to study their flat subspaces, i.e. isometrically embedded euclidean spaces $\mathbb{E}^n$, $n \geq 2$. In the present paper we study flat subspaces of systolic complexes. A 2-dimensional flat in a systolic complex X is an equilaterally triangulated euclidean plane (denoted $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$) whose 1-skeleton is isometrically embedded into $X^{(1)}$. One does not need to consider higher dimensional flats, since (as it was proved in [JS2]) systolic complexes do not contain flats of dimension larger than 2 (i.e. there are no systolic triangulations of $\mathbb{E}^n$ for $n \geq 3$ and there are no properly discontinuous actions of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on a systolic complex for $n \geq 3$).

One of the main results of this paper is the Systolic Flat Torus Theorem, which completes the list of results that are simulaneously true for systolic geometry and CAT(0) geometry. In particular we present an alternative proof of the fact that a free abelian group acting properly discontinuously on a systolic complex has rank at most 2.

Systolic Flat Torus Theorem (see Theorem 6.1 in the text) *Let G be a noncyclic free abelian group acting properly discontinuously by simplicial automorphisms on a systolic complex X. Then:*

(1) *G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$.*

(2) *There is a G-invariant flat in X. Any two such flats are at Hausdorff distance 1.*

(3) *A vertex $v \in X$ is contained in some G-invariant flat if and only if it satisfies the minimal displacement condition, i.e.*

$$d(v, g(v)) = \min_{x \in X^{(0)}} d(x, g(x)), \text{ for any } g \in G$$

Part (2) of the theorem can be made more precise by the following theorem, characterizing flats at finite Hausdorff distance. It states that not only flats (images of embeddings of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ into X) are at Hausdorff distance 1, but also the embeddings themselves are at distance 1. Thus G-invariant flat given by the Systolic Flat Torus Theorem is in some sense unique.

Theorem A (see Theorem 5.4 in the text) *Let F and F' be flats in a systolic complex X at finite Hausdorff distance. Then there is a simplicial isometry $f : F \to F'$ such that*

$$d_X(v, f(v)) \leq 1, \text{ for any vertex } v \in F$$

In particular F and F' are at Hausdorff distance at most 1.

The main tool used in the proof of Systolic Flat Torus Theorem is the theory of minimal surfaces, developed in the first part of the paper (Sections 2–4). Given a cycle γ in X, a surface spanning γ is a simplicial map $S : \Delta \to X$ such that Δ is a triangulation of a 2-disc and S maps $\partial\Delta$ isomorphically onto γ. A surface S is minimal if Δ has the minimal number of triangles. Since we are mainly interested in studying flats in X, the surfaces of our special interest are *flat surfaces*, i.e. those for which the domain of S is a simplicial disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ such that the 1-skeleton $\Delta^{(1)}$ is isometrically embedded into the 1-skeleton of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$.

We answer the following questions that naturally arise, when considering flat minimal surfaces:

(1) Is it possible to characterize flat minimal surfaces in local terms?

(2) Is a flat minimal surface an isometric embedding?

(3) Is a flat minimal surface spanning given cycle γ unique?

(4) If cycles γ_1 and γ_2 are close to each other, are minimal surfaces spanning them close?

The following theorems summarize more precise, but more technical results from the main text, pertaining to the discussion above. Theorem B presents a local characterization of flat minimal surfaces (condition (a) in the theorem) and a positive answer to a slightly weaker version of question (2) (the interior of a flat minimal surface is isometrically embedded).

Theorem B (see Theorem 4.12 in the text) *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ be a simplicial disc such that $\Delta^{(1)}$ is isometrically embedded into the 1-skeleton of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and $\partial\Delta$ has no diagonals (i.e. nonconsecutive vertices of $\partial\Delta$ are not connected by an edge in Δ). Then for an arbitrary simplicial map $S : \Delta \to X$ to a systolic complex X the following are equivalent:*

(a) *The restriction of S to any simplicial disc $D \subset \Delta$ such that $\operatorname{diam} D \leq 3$ is an isometric embedding,*

(b) *The restriction of S to the subcomplex spanned by all internal vertices of Δ is an isometric embedding,*

(c) *S is a minimal surface.*

The answer to question (3) is negative – there can be many different minimal surfaces spanning the same cycle in a systolic complex. However, we proved that if one of these surfaces is flat, then all of them are pairwise at Hausdorff distance 1. Moreover, they are equivalent in the following sense.

Theorem C (see Theorem 4.12 in the text) *Let $S : \Delta \to X$ be a flat minimal surface in a systolic complex X and let $\partial\Delta$ have no diagonals. Then for any minimal surface $S' : \Delta' \to X$ spanning the same cycle as S we have $\Delta' = \Delta$ and $d_X(S(v), S'(v)) \leq 1$ for any vertex $v \in \Delta = \Delta'$.*

Theorem D states the stability of flat minimal surfaces under small deformations of their boundaries. This is the simplified version of the theorem from the text, where we do not assume that S and S' are flat and do not use the assumption that γ and γ' have equal length.

Theorem D (see Theorem 4.16 in the text) *Let γ and γ' be two cycles of equal length in a systolic complex X such that they have no diagonals. Denote by $\varphi : \gamma \to \gamma'$ a simplicial isomorphism. If S and S' are flat minimal surfaces spanning γ and γ', respectively, then*

$$\mathrm{hdist}_X(\mathrm{Im}\, S_1, \mathrm{Im}\, S_2) \leq \max_{v\in\gamma^{(0)}} d_X(v, \varphi(v)) + 1$$

Techniques developed in the present paper have more applications in the theory of systolic spaces.

As a consequence of Theorem B we obtain a result proved by Piotr Przytycki in [P]: a cocompact systolic complex is Gromov-hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain a flat (Corollary 4.14).

For systolic spaces one has a natural modification of the Isolated Flats Property (studied by G. Christopher Hruska in [Hr1] and [Hr2] for CAT(0)-spaces). In [E1] we examine systolic spaces with the Isolated Flats Property admitting a geometric action of a group G. As a consequence of the Systolic Flat Torus Theorem we obtain a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of flats in X (two flats are equivalent if they are at finite Hausdorff distance) and maximal virtually abelian rank 2 subgroups in G. We use Theorems B and D to prove that such G is relatively hyperbolic with respect to its maximal virtually abelian rank 2 subgroups and to characterize cocompact systolic complexes with the Isolated Flats Property as complexes not containing isometrically embedded triplanes (this is a systolic analogue of a 2-dimensional CAT(0) result of Daniel Wise, contained in [Hr1]).

In [E2] we apply Theorem B to obtain a classification of individual simplicial isometries of systolic complexes. We show that such an isometry is either elliptic or hyperbolic.

In [E3] we use Theorem B to prove the δ-thin tetrahedra property for systolic spaces, a condition which is a higher dimensional analogue of the δ-thin triangles property. It states that given any 4 vertices in a systolic complex X, a tetrahedron obtained by joining the vertices pairwise by geodesics in $X^{(1)}$ and then spanning minimal surfaces on 4 arising geodesic triangles satisfies the following property: any of its 2-dimensional faces (i.e. any of the minimal surfaces) is in δ-neighbourhood of the union of the remaining three faces.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Jan Dymara, Tadeusz Januszkiewicz and Jacek Świątkowski for many helpful discussions and advice.

2. Systolic complexes and groups

In this section we recall the definition and main properties of systolic complexes and systolic groups, proved in [JS1] and [JS2]. Theorem 2.4 is a variation of Theorem 8.2 in [JS2] and is crucial for the present paper. Remaining material here is just for reader's convenience.

Let X be a simplicial complex and σ a simplex of X. The *link* of X at σ, denoted X_σ, is a subcomplex of X consisting of all simplices that are disjoint from σ and together with σ span a simplex of X. The (closed) star of σ is the union of all (closed) simplices containing σ. A simplex σ is the *join* of its faces $\tau_1, \tau_2 \subset \sigma$ (what we denote $\sigma = \tau_1 * \tau_2$) if τ_1 and τ_2 are disjoint and their union spans σ. A complex X is the join of its disjoint subcomplexes $K, L \subset X$ (denoted $X = K * L$) if X consists of all simplices of the form $\sigma * \tau$, where σ and τ are simplices of K and L, respectively.

A simplicial complex X is *flag* if every finite set of its vertices pairwise connected by edges spans a simplex of X. A subcomplex $Y \subset X$ is *full* if any simplex $\sigma \subset X$ with all vertices in Y is contained in Y.

A *cycle* in X is a subcomplex γ isomorphic to a triangulation of a circle. The *length* of γ (denoted $|\gamma|$) is the number of its edges. A *diagonal* of a cycle is an edge joining its two nonconsecutive vertices.

Whenever we refer to a metric on a simplicial complex, we actually mean the 1-skeleton of the complex equipped with the combinatorial metric (i.e. the geodesic metric in which all edges have length 1). Thus for a simplicial complex X the symbol 'd_X' denotes the combinatorial metric on $X^{(1)}$. Moreover, referring to a geodesic in a simplicial complex X, we mean a geodesic in $X^{(1)}$ having both endpoints in $X^{(0)}$.

Definition 2.1. (see [JS2]) *A simplicial complex X is called:*

- 6-large *if it is flag and every cycle γ in X of length $4 \leq |\gamma| < 6$ has a diagonal;*
- locally 6-large *if the link of X at every (nonempty) simplex is 6-large;*
- systolic *if it is locally 6-large, connected and simply connected.*

A group acting simplicially, properly discontinuously and cocompactly on a systolic complex is called a systolic group.

As the following fact shows, an equivalent definition of systolicity can be obtained by replacing words 'locally 6-large' with '6-large'.

Fact 2.2. ([JS1], Proposition 1.4) *Every systolic complex is 6-large. In particular, a cycle of length smaller than 6 in a systolic complex bounds a triangulated disc with no internal vertices.*

The original definition of Januszkiewicz and Świątkowski introduces notions of k-largeness and k-systolicity for $k \geq 6$, obtained by a natural modification of Definition 2.1 (then *systolic complex* means *6-systolic complex*). However, k-systolic complexes for $k \geq 7$ are Gromov-hyperbolic ([JS1], Theorem 2.1), so they contain neither flats, nor even wide flat surfaces (see Definition 4.1) and do not admit properly discontinuous actions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore from our point of view they are not interesting.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a finite dimensional systolic complex. Then:*

- ([JS1], Theorem 4.1) *X is contractible.*
- ([JS2], Corollary 1.3) *Every full subcomplex of X is aspherical.*

Januszkiewicz and Świątkowski proved that every connected locally 6-large complex of groups is developable ([JS1], Theorem 6.1). Using this result many constructions of compact complexes with systolic universal coverings were presented ([JS1], Corollaries 19.2 and 19.3).

The next theorem follows from the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [JS2]. However, as it is an important result for the present paper, we provide its proof below.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a systolic complex and S a triangulation of a 2-sphere. Then any simplicial map $f : S \to X$ can be extended to a simplicial map $F : B \to X$, where B is a triangulation of a 3-ball such that $\partial B = S$ and B has no internal vertices.*

Proof: We proceed by induction on the number of triangles in S. The smallest possible number is 4; then S is the 2-skeleton of a tetrahedron and the statement follows from flagness of X. The case of a larger number of triangles in S we divide into two subcases:

Case 1: *S is not flag.*

As the case of the 2-skeleton of a tetrahedron has already been discussed, there exists a cycle γ of length 3 in S not bounding a triangle. Thus γ disconnects S into discs D_1 and D_2 ($\partial D_1 = \partial D_2 = \gamma$). For $i = 1, 2$ we glue a single triangle to D_i along γ, obtaining a triangulation S_i of a sphere with a smaller number of triangles than in S (we assume $S_1 \cap S_2$ is the single triangle) and define $f_i : S_i \to X$ to be the simplicial map whose restriction to 1-skeleton coincide with the restriction of f (f_i is well-defined, since X is flag). By the inductive assumption, f_i can be extended to $F_i : B_i \to X$, where B_i is such a triangulation of a ball that has no internal vertices and $\partial B_i = S_i$. Finally, we put $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $F = F_1 \cup F_2$.

Case 2: *S is flag.*

Since the Euler characteristic of a sphere is positive, by the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem there is a vertex $v \in S$ adjacent to less than 6 triangles. The link at v is a cycle γ of length 4 or 5 (length 3 is impossible by flagness of S). Thus $S = D_1 \cup D_2$, where $D_1 = v * \gamma$ is the closed star of v and D_2 is obtained from S by cutting out the open star of v. Notice that by flagness of S the cycle $\gamma = \partial D_2 = \partial D_1$ has no diagonals.

By Fact 2.2, the map $f|_\gamma$ can be extended simplicially over some triangulated disc C ($\gamma = \partial C$) with no internal vertices. Define $B_1 = v * C$ and let $F_1 : B_1 \to X$ be the simplicial map whose restriction to 0-skeleton coincides with the restriction of f (it is well-defined by flagness of X). Then $S_2 = D_2 \cup C$ is a triangulation of a sphere (as $\gamma = \partial D_2 = \partial C$ has no diagonals in D_2) with a smaller number of triangles than in S. Let $f_2 : S_2 \to X$ be the simplicial map whose restriction to 0-skeleton coincides with the restriction of f. Applying the inductive assumption we extend it to $F_2 : B_2 \to X$, where B_2 is a triangulation of a ball with no internal vertices satisfying $\partial B_2 = S_2$. Finally, we put $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $F = F_1 \cup F_2$. □

3. Systolic triangulations of a disc

The simplest example of a systolic complex is an equilaterally triangulated euclidean plane – it will be called *the flat systolic plane* and denoted $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. As we have written before, we equip it with the combinatorial metric on the 1-skeleton and do not use any metric on the whole complex. We define a *systolic disc* to be a systolic triangulation of a 2-disc and a *flat disc* – a systolic disc Δ such that $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. For any vertex $v \in \Delta$ the *defect at* v is defined by the following formula:

$$\mathrm{def}_\Delta(v) = \begin{cases} 6 - \#\{\text{triangles in } \Delta \text{ containing } v\}, & \text{if } v \notin \partial\Delta \\ 3 - \#\{\text{triangles in } \Delta \text{ containing } v\}, & \text{if } v \in \partial\Delta \end{cases}$$

It is clear that internal vertices of a systolic disc have nonpositive defects. Boundary vertices will be called, for brevity, *(non)positive*, *zero*, or *(non)negative* if their defects are such. Throughout the paper we use the term 'the sum of defects along a polygonal line' to mean the sum of defects at all of its vertices except at the endpoints.

Now we state few facts on systolic discs, frequently used in this paper.

Remark 3.1. *If Δ is a systolic disc and g is a geodesic in Δ contained in $\partial\Delta$, then the sum of defects along g is at most 1.*

Proof: The geodesic g does not pass through any boundary vertex of defect 2. Moreover, if g passes through vertices $u, v \in g \subset \partial\Delta$ of defects $+1$, at least one of the vertices on g between u and v has a negative defect (by geodesity of g). Thus positive vertices on g have defects $+1$ and are separated by negative vertices, so the sum of defects is at most 1. □

Lemma 3.2. (Gauss-Bonnet Lemma) *If Δ is any simplicial disc, then:*

$$\sum_{v \in \Delta^{(0)}} \mathrm{def}(v) = 6.$$

In particular, if Δ is a systolic disc, then the sum of defects at boundary vertices is at least 6, with the equality if and only if Δ has no internal vertices of negative defects.

Lemma 3.3. (Pick's Formula) *Let Δ be any simplicial disc. Denote its area (i.e. the number of triangles) by S, its perimeter by l and the numbers of its internal and boundary vertices by V_i and V_b, respectively. Then:*

$$S = 2V_i + V_b - 2 = l + 2(V_i - 1).$$

In particular, the area of a simplicial disc depends only on the numbers of its internal and boundary vertices.

Proof: Denoting by E_i the number of internal edges of Δ, we obtain $3S = 2E_i + l$. The Euler characteristic of Δ is equal to $1 = S - (E_i + l) + (V_i + l)$, hence $E_i = S + V_i - 1$. Substituting the last equation into the first one we obtain the lemma. □

It is known ([JS1]) that systolic complexes satisfy quadratic isoperimetric inequality. In the subsequent lemma we provide explicit constants, presenting the optimal estimate on the area of a systolic disc.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Δ be a systolic disc of perimeter l and of area S. Then:*

(1) $S \le \frac{1}{6}l^2$;

(2) $\mathrm{dist}(v, \partial\Delta) \le \frac{1}{6}l$, *for every vertex $v \in \Delta$.*

The inequalities are optimal if $l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod 6$. In the remaining cases the optimal isoperimetric inequality is $S \le \frac{1}{6}l^2 - 1$ (as by Pick's Formula $S \equiv l \pmod 2$). If $l = 6k + r$, where k, r are natural numbers and $r < 6$ the estimate is realized by an equilaterally triangulated regular hexagon of side length $k+1$ with cut off triangles adjacent to its $6-r$ consecutive sides.

Proof: Denote by $\lambda_\Delta(d)$ the number of vertices $v \in \Delta$ satisfying $\mathrm{dist}(v, \partial\Delta) = d$. We prove by induction on l the following inequality:

$$\lambda_\Delta(d) \le \begin{cases} l - 6d, & \text{for } 0 < d < \frac{1}{6}l \\ 1, & \text{for } d = \frac{1}{6}l \\ 0, & \text{for } d > \frac{1}{6}l \end{cases} \quad \text{for any systolic disc } \Delta \text{ of perimeter } l \tag{3.1}$$

This is trivial when $l < 6$, as by Fact 2.2 in such a case Δ has no internal vertices. Case $l \ge 6$ will be divided into three subcases.

Case 1: *Δ has a disconnecting edge e.*

Then e disconnects Δ into two systolic discs Δ_1 and Δ_2 of perimeters l_1 and l_2, where $l_1 + l_2 = l + 2$ and $3 \le l_1 \le l_2 < l$. If $0 < d \le \frac{1}{6}l_1$, then $d < \frac{1}{6}l$ and by the inductive assumption:

$$\lambda_\Delta(d) = \lambda_{\Delta_1}(d) + \lambda_{\Delta_2}(d) \le (l_1 - 6d + 1) + (l_2 - 6d + 1) = l + 4 - 12d \le l - 6d.$$

If $d > \frac{1}{6}l_1$, then by the inductive assumption $\lambda_\Delta(d) = \lambda_{\Delta_2}(d)$ and (3.1) follows immediately.

Case 2: *The closed star of some internal vertex $v \in \Delta$ disconnects Δ and there is no disconnecting edges in Δ.*

Then there exists a geodesic line of length 2 in Δ with middle vertex v disconnecting Δ into systolic discs Δ_1 and Δ_2 so that each of them contain an internal vertex. Therefore, by Fact 2.2 their perimeters are not smaller than 6. If $\mathrm{def}_{\Delta_i}(v) < 0$, then we glue 2 triangles at v obtaining a systolic disc Δ_i' (as in the figure), otherwise we put $\Delta_i' := \Delta_i$. Thus for any internal vertex $w \in \Delta_i'$ distinct from v we have $\mathrm{dist}_\Delta(w, \partial\Delta) = \mathrm{dist}_{\Delta_i'}(w, \partial\Delta_i')$.



Figure 3.1.

Denoting by l_1' and l_2' the perimeters of Δ_1' and Δ_2' we have $l_1'+l_2' = l+4$ and $6 \leq l_1' \leq l_2' < l$, hence $l_1', l_2' < l$. If $1 < d \leq \frac{1}{6}l_1'$, then $d < \frac{1}{6}l$ and by the inductive assumption:

$$\lambda_\Delta(d) = \lambda_{\Delta_1'}(d) + \lambda_{\Delta_2'}(d) \leq (l_1' - 6d + 1) + (l_2' - 6d + 1) = l + 6 - 12d \leq l - 6d.$$

Notice that by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma a systolic disc of perimeter 6 either has a diagonal or is the join of a vertex and a cycle of length 6, so the case $l_1' = l_2' = 6$ is impossible. Thus by the inductive assumption:

$$\lambda_\Delta(1) \leq \lambda_{\Delta_1'}(1) + \lambda_{\Delta_2'}(1) + 1 \leq (l_1' - 6 + 1) + (l_2' - 6) + 1 = l - 6.$$

In the case $d > \frac{1}{6}l_1'$ by the inductive assumption $\lambda_\Delta(d) = \lambda_{\Delta_2'}(d)$ and (3.1) follows immediately.

Case 3: Δ *can be disconnected neither by an edge, nor by a closed star of an internal vertex.*

Then the subcomplex $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ spanned by all internal vertices of Δ is a deformation retract of Δ and has no disconnecting vertices. Therefore Δ' is either a systolic disc or a single vertex v or a single edge vw. Since Δ has no disconnecting edges, in the last two cases Δ is the closed star of v (and $S = l \geq 6$) or the union of closed stars of v and w (and $S = l + 2 \geq 10$), whence (3.1) immediately follows.

Suppose Δ' is a systolic disc of perimeter l'. As for every vertex $v \in \partial\Delta' \subset \Delta$ the intersection $\Delta_v \cap \partial\Delta = \alpha_v$ is an arc in $\partial\Delta$, we obtain the following inequality:

$$l + l' = \sum_{v \in \partial\Delta'} (|\alpha_v| + 1) = \sum_{v \in \partial\Delta} (2 - \operatorname{def}(v)) \leq 2l - 6,$$

since both sums are equal to the number of edges in Δ with exactly one endpoint on $\partial\Delta$ (the inequality is by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma). Thus $l' \leq l - 6$ and applying the inductive assumption to $\lambda_{\Delta'}(d-1) = \lambda_\Delta(d)$ we complete the proof of (3.1). Part (2) of the lemma is an immediate corollary.

To prove part (1) we estimate the number V_i of internal vertices of Δ:

$$V_i \leq \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\Delta(d) \leq \delta + \sum_{d=1}^{[l/6]} (l - 6d) = \delta + \left[\frac{l}{6}\right]\left(l - 3\left[\frac{l}{6}\right] - 3\right) \leq \frac{1}{12}l^2 - \frac{1}{2}l + 1,$$

where $\delta = 1$ if $6 \mid l$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. Now we apply Pick's Formula to obtain:

$$S = 2(V_i - 1) + l \leq 2\left(\left(\frac{1}{12}l^2 - \frac{1}{2}l + 1\right) - 1\right) + l \leq \frac{1}{6}l^2.$$

$\square$

Recall that a simplicial disc Δ is flat if $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. Below we present an intrinsic characterization of flatness.

Lemma 3.5. *A simplicial disc Δ is flat if and only if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

(i) *every internal vertex of Δ has defect 0,*

(ii) *Δ has no boundary vertices of defect less than -1,*

(iii) *on $\partial\Delta$ any two negative vertices are separated by a positive one.*

Proof: We prove the 'if' part (the 'only if' part is trivial). If Δ has a boundary vertex of defect -1, then $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into a simplicial disc satisfying (i)–(iii) having the same perimeter as Δ and larger area (we glue 2 triangles at the negative vertex). By the isoperimetric inequality (Lemma 3.4) the procedure terminates. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that Δ has no negative vertices.

By induction on the number of positive vertices on $\partial\Delta$ we claim that $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into the 1-skeleton of a simplicial disc Δ' such that Δ' still satisfies (i)–(iii), has no negative vertices, and furthermore any path in $\partial\Delta'$ joining two distinct vertices of defect 1 passes through a vertex of defect 2. Indeed for any path $[u,v]$, $u,v \in \partial\Delta$ such that u and v have defects 1 and $[u,v]$ does not pass through any positive vertex we glue an equilaterally triangulated equilateral triangle along $[u,v]$ and $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into the 1-skeleton of the resulting simplicial disc Δ', which still satisfies (i)–(iii), has no negative vertices and has fewer positive vertices on its boundary.

Applying the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma we see that Δ' has either 3 nonzero vertices (each of defect 2), or has 4 nonzero vertices (of defects 2, 1, 2, 1, in this order). It follows that Δ is an equilateral triangulation of an equilateral triangle or of a parallelogram. This is proved by induction on the perimeter – we cut out triangles touching one side of the triangle or the parallelogram and apply the inductive assumption. Therefore $\Delta^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. □

4. Flat surfaces in systolic complexes

Let X be a systolic complex. Any simplicial map $S : \Delta_S \to X$, where Δ_S is a triangulation of a 2-disc will be called *a surface*. We often use a symbol Δ_S to denote the domain of a surface S. Given a cycle γ in X, we say that a surface S *is spanning* γ if it maps $\partial\Delta_S$ isomorphically onto γ. By area of a simplicial disc we mean the number of triangles in the triangulation.

Definition 4.1. *A surface $S : \Delta_S \to X$ in a systolic complex X is:*

- minimal *if Δ_S has the minimal area among surfaces extending $S|_{\partial\Delta_S}$;*
- systolic *if Δ_S is a systolic disc;*
- flat *if Δ_S is a flat disc, i.e. $\Delta_S^{(1)}$ can be isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$;*
- wide *if $\partial\Delta_S$ is a full subcomplex of Δ_S.*

This section is devoted to the study of flat minimal surfaces. By Lemma 1.7 in [JS2], a minimal surface S spanning a cycle γ is nondegenerate, i.e. is injective on any simplex.

Thus if the complex Δ_S has the smallest area, then also the map $S : \Delta_S \to X$ has the smallest area (area of a map S is the number of triangles of Δ_S on which S is injective). The existence of minimal surfaces is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a systolic complex and S^1 a triangulated circle. Then any simplicial map $f : S^1 \to X$ can be extended to a simplicial map $F : \Delta \to X$, where Δ is a systolic disc such that $\partial\Delta = S^1$. Moreover, any minimal surface extending f is systolic.*

Proof: Since X is simply connected, f can be extended to a map $f' : D^2 \to X$, where D^2 is a 2-disc. Hence, using the relative Simplicial Approximation Theorem ([Sp], p.126), we obtain a simplicial disc Δ such that $\partial\Delta = S^1$ and a simplicial map $F : \Delta \to X$ extending f. We choose Δ and F so that the area of Δ is minimal.

If Δ was not systolic, then it would have an internal vertex v adjacent to less than 6 triangles. Then we could cut out the open star of v and glue in a triangulated disc with no internal vertices (extending the triangulation of Δ_v) so that F could be extended over the new triangulation (Fact 2.2). This would result in a simplicial disc Δ' with a smaller area than Δ and a simplicial map $F' : \Delta' \to X$ extending f, contradicting minimality of the area of Δ. □

One of the main results in this section is the characterization of wide flat minimal surfaces in local terms (Theorem 4.12). To state it we need the following local conditions:

Definition 4.3. *A surface $S : \Delta_S \to X$ in a systolic complex X is:*

- a locally isometric immersion *if for any internal vertex $v \in \Delta_S$, S restricted to the 1-skeleton of $N(v)$ is an isometric embedding;*
- a strong locally isometric immersion *if for any internal vertex $v \in \Delta_S$ and for any edge $e \subset \Delta_S$ with endpoints at internal vertices of Δ_S, the restrictions of S to the 1-skeleta of $N(v)$ and $N(e)$ are isometric embeddings.*

Here and subsequently $N(K)$ denotes the subcomplex equal to the union of all (closed) simplices that intersect K.

4.1. Equivalent surfaces

It is natural to study flat surfaces up to some equivalence relation, defined below. We show that if there exists a wide and flat minimal surface spanning a cycle γ, then it is unique up to this equivalence (Theorem 4.12).

Definition 4.4. *We call surfaces S and S'* v-equivalent *and write $S \cong_v S'$ if $\Delta_S = \Delta_{S'}$ and $S(x) = S'(x)$ for all vertices $x \neq v$, where $v \in \Delta_S$ is a fixed internal vertex.*

Surfaces S and S' are equivalent *if there are a sequence of surfaces $S = S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_n = S'$ and a sequence of internal vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \Delta_S$ such that $\Delta_S = \Delta_{S_0} = \ldots = \Delta_{S_n}$ and $S_{i-1} \cong_{v_i} S_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Informally, two surfaces are equivalent if one of them can be obtained from the other by a sequence of small modifications. Surprisingly, such surfaces are always Hausdorff 1-close (Lemma 4.6). It is also important that this equivalence preserves the condition of being strong locally isometric immersion (Lemma 4.5).

Lemma 4.5. *If a flat surface S in a systolic complex X is a strong locally isometric immersion, then any surface equivalent to S also has this property.*

Lemma 4.6. *If flat surfaces S and S' in a systolic complex X are equivalent and are locally isometric immersions, then:*

$$d_X(S(v), S'(v)) \leq 1, \text{ for any internal vertex } v \in \Delta_S = \Delta_{S'}.$$

In particular, the Hausdorff distance between $\operatorname{Im} S$ and $\operatorname{Im} S'$ is at most 1.

Before proving the Lemmas, we need certain characterization of locally isometric immersions in terms of local minimality.

Proposition 4.7. *Let S be a flat surface in a systolic complex X. Then:*

(1) *S is a locally isometric immersion if and only if for every internal vertex $v \in \Delta_S$ the surface $S|_{N(v)}$ is minimal;*

(2) *S is a strong locally isometric immersion if and only if for every internal vertex $v \in \Delta_S$ and for every edge $e \subset \Delta_S$ with endpoints at internal vertices surfaces $S|_{N(v)}$ and $S|_{N(e)}$ are minimal.*

Proof of (1):

Let $v \in \Delta_S$ be an internal vertex. Then $H = N(v)$ is a hexagon triangulated with 6 triangles and by Pick's Formula $S|_H$ is not minimal if and only if $S|_{\partial H}$ can be extended to a surface S' such that $\Delta_{S'}$ has no internal vertices. In such an extension the cycle $\partial H = \partial \Delta_{S'}$ has a diagonal, what implies that $S|_{H^{(1)}}$ is not an isometric embedding.

If $S|_H$ is a minimal surface, then $S|_{\partial H}$ cannot be simplicially extended over $\partial H \cup \alpha$ for any diagonal α, as otherwise it could be extended over some simplicial disc with no internal vertices (Fact 2.2), contradicting the minimality of $S|_H$. Thus $S|_{\partial H}$ is injective (if $S(p) = S(q)$ for some vertices $p \neq q \in \partial H$ we define α to be a diagonal joining p with q if they are nonconsecutive vertices of ∂H or a diagonal joining p with the other neighbour of q otherwise) and the cycle $S(\partial H)$ has no diagonals. Since $\operatorname{diam}(H) = 2$, this proves that $S|_{H^{(1)}}$ is an isometric embedding.

Proof of (2):

Let uv be an edge of Δ_S with both endpoints at internal vertices of Δ_S. Then $P = N(uv)$ is an octagon triangulated as in Figure 4.1. By Pick's Formula, S restricted to P is not minimal if and only if $S|_{\partial P}$ can be extended over some simplicial disc Δ' bounded by ∂P having at most one internal vertex. Then ∂P either has a diagonal or is contained in the link of the only internal vertex of Δ'. In both cases $S|_{P^{(1)}}$ is not an isometric embedding.

If $S|_P$ is a minimal surface, then $S|_{\partial P}$ cannot be extended over $\partial P \cup \alpha$ for any diagonal α of ∂P, as otherwise it could be extended over some simplicial disc with at most one internal vertex (by Lemma 3.4 and Pick's Formula cycles of length 6 and 7 have fillings with at most 1 internal vertex and cycles of length smaller than 6 have fillings with no internal vertices), contradicting the minimality of $S|_P$. Hence, similarly as in the proof of (1), we see that $S|_{\partial P}$ is injective and the cycle $S(\partial P)$ has no diagonals.

As by (1) the restrictions of S to the 1-skeleta of $N(u)$ and $N(v)$ are isometric embeddings, $S|_P$ is an injection onto a full subcomplex of X. Suppose $S|_{P^{(1)}}$ it is not an isometric embedding. Thus there are vertices $t, w \in P$ such that $d_P(t,w) = 3$ and $d_X(S(t), S(w)) = 2$ (so there exists a vertex $x \in X$ connected by edges with $S(t)$ and $S(w)$). There are 3 subcases:



Figure 4.1.

The pentagon $S(t)S(u)S(v)S(w)x$ has 2 diagonals (Fact 2.2) and they are $xS(u)$ and $xS(v)$ (as restrictions of S to 1-skeletons of $N(v)$ and $N(w)$ are isometric embeddings), so the images of t, u, v, w are in the link X_x. In case (c) we have also $S(a) \in X_x$ (as the square $S(u)S(a)S(w)x$ must have the diagonal $xS(a)$). We see that in any case the image of P is contained in X_x, contradicting minimality of $S|_P$. It follows from the following remark, an argument which will be used many times in the paper.

Remark 4.8. *Let H be a minimal surface in a systolic complex X such that $\Delta_H = p * \partial\Delta_H$ (where $p \in \Delta_H$ is the only internal vertex) and $|\Delta_H| = 6$. If two opposite vertices of $\partial\Delta_H$ are mapped by H into some link X_y, then $\operatorname{Im} H \subset X_y$.*

In any case depicted in Figure 4.1 we apply the remark to $S|_{N(u)}$. It follows that $S|_{N(v)}$ also satisfies the assumptions of the remark and we apply the remark to $S|_{N(v)}$, obtaining $S(P) \subset X_x$. This contradicts minimality of $S|_P$. $\square$

Proof of Remark 4.8: Denote successive boundary vertices of Δ_H by $a_1, \ldots, a_6$. Suppose $H(a_1), H(a_4) \in X_y$. Since H is minimal, $H|_{\Delta_H^{(1)}}$ is an isometric embedding. By Fact 2.2 the pentagon $H(a_1)H(a_2)H(a_3)H(a_4)y$ has two diagonals: $yH(a_2)$ and $yH(a_3)$. Similarly we obtain edges $yH(a_5)$ and $yH(a_6)$. The square $H(a_1)H(p)H(a_4)y$ has the diagonal $yH(p)$. $\square$

We now give proofs of the Lemmas stated at the beginning of this subsection.

Proof of Lemma 4.5:

Since any surface equivalent to S is a flat surface, it suffices to prove the statement for w-equivalent surfaces (for any internal vertex $w \in \Delta_S$). Thus assume $S' \cong_w S$ and denote $\Delta := \Delta_S = \Delta_{S'}$.

By Proposition 4.7 we need to prove minimality of $S'|_{N(u)}$, for any internal vertex $u \in \Delta$ and $S'|_{N(uv)}$, for any edge uv with endpoints at internal vertices $u, v \in \Delta$. Minimality of $S'|_{N(u)}$ follows directly from minimality of $S|_{N(u)}$, unless $d_\Delta(u, w) = 1$, but then it follows from minimality of $S|_{N(uw)}$. Thus, by Proposition 4.7(1), S' is a locally isometric immersion.

What is left to prove is minimality of $S'|_{N(uv)}$. The only non-trivial case is when $w \in \partial N(uv)$. Then we can assume, not losing generality, that w is connected by an edge with v and consider three subcases: the ones depicted in Figure 4.1 (a) and (b) and the third one with w connected to both v and u. Inspecting the three subcases, case by case, we see (using the fact that S' and S restricted to the 1-skeleta of $N(vw)$ and $N(uv)$, respectively, are isometric embeddings) that the map $S'|_{N(uv)}$ is injective and the cycle $\gamma = S'(\partial N(uv))$ has no diagonals. If $S'|_{N(uv)}$ was not minimal, then γ would bound a simplicial disc with at most 1 internal vertex (by Pick's Formula). As we have just proved that γ has no diagonals, the disc would be the join of some vertex $x \in X$ and γ. However, this would contradict the fact that S restricted to 1-skeleton of $N(uv)$ is an isometric embedding. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.6:

Denote $\Delta := \Delta_S = \Delta_{S'}$. Let $S = S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_n = S'$ be a sequence of surfaces such that $S_{i-1} \cong_{v_i} S_i$, for some internal vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \Delta$. The proof will be divided into two steps. First we prove that for internal vertices $v, w \in \Delta$ relations $\cong_v$ and $\cong_w$ 'commute' in the following sense:

Step 1: *If a flat surface S is a locally isometric immersion and $S \cong_v S' \cong_w S''$, then there exists a surface $\bar{S}'$ such that $S \cong_w \bar{S}' \cong_v S''$.*

Define a map $\bar{S}'_0 : \Delta^{(0)} \to X$ by:

$$\bar{S}'_0(x) = \begin{cases} S(x), & \text{if } x \neq w \\ S''(w), & \text{if } x = w \end{cases}$$

It extends to a simplicial map $\bar{S}' : \Delta \to X$ if $d_X(\bar{S}'_0(x), \bar{S}'_0(w)) \leq 1$ for any vertex $x \in \Delta_w$. As S'' and $\bar{S}'_0$ coincide at all vertices but v it suffices to check this condition when $x = v$. Then either $S(v) = S''(w)$ or (denoting $\partial N(v) \cap \partial N(w) = \{a, b\}$) we obtain the square $S(a)S(v)S(b)S''(w)$ in X, which by Fact 2.2 has the diagonal $S(v)S''(w)$ (since S is a locally isometric immersion). In both cases $d_X(\bar{S}'_0(v), \bar{S}'_0(w)) \leq 1$.

By Lemma 4.5 the surfaces $S_0, \ldots, S_n$ are strong locally isometric immersions. Thus by Step 1 and transitivity of $\cong_{v_i}$, we may assume that vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are pairwise different. To complete the proof we need the following:

Step 2: *If flat surfaces S and S' are locally isometric immersions and are v-equivalent, where $v \in \Delta$ is an internal vertex, then $d_X(S'(v), S(v)) \leq 1$.*

Denoting by a and b two opposite vertices of $\partial N(v)$, we see that $S(a)$ and $S(b)$ are not connected by an edge in X. Thus either $S(v) = S'(v)$ or the square $S(a)S(v)S(b)S'(v)$ has a diagonal (Fact 2.2), so $S(v)$ and $S'(v)$ are at distance at most 1. $\square$

4.2. Fundamental theorem on flat surfaces

In Theorem 4.12 we answer questions (1)–(3) stated in the introduction. The answer to question (2) (if a minimal surface is an isometric embedding) is negative, but we prove a slightly weaker statement: every minimal surface is an almost isometric embedding.

Definition 4.9. *Let S be a surface in a systolic complex X. We say that S is an* almost isometric embedding *if*

$$d_{\Delta_S}(u,v) = d_X(S(u), S(v))$$

for vertices $u, v \in \Delta_S$ such that either one of them is internal or they can be connected by a neat geodesic (where a neat geodesic *in Δ_S is a geodesic intersecting $\partial\Delta_S$ at most at the endpoints).*

Theorem 4.10. *Let S be a wide flat surface in a systolic complex X. If S is a strong locally isometric immersion, then it is an almost isometric embedding.*

Proof: Put $\Delta := \Delta_S$. Recall that a neat geodesic in Δ is a geodesic intersecting $\partial\Delta$ at most at the endpoints.

Step 1: *If $u, v \in \Delta$ can be joined by a neat geodesic, then $d_\Delta(u,v) = d_X(S(u), S(v))$.*

Suppose there exists a surface $\bar{S}$ equivalent to S and vertices $u, v \in \Delta$ which can be joined by a neat geodesic and satisfy:

$$d' := d_X(\bar{S}(u), \bar{S}(v)) < d_\Delta(u,v) =: d. \tag{4.1}$$

Choose u, v and $\bar{S}$ minimizing d. The surface $\bar{S}$ is a strong locally isometric immersion (Lemma 4.5), so $d > 3$. Let $g : [0,d] \to \Delta$ be a neat geodesic with endpoints $g(0) = u$ and $g(d) = v$.

Since S is flat, $\Delta \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$, so we can set $g(0) = u$, $g(1) = p$ as in Figure 4.2 and assume that $g(d) = v$ lies in the shaded sector of vertex q (v obviously can be set in the sector of vertex p and if v was outside the shaded area, then we would interchange u with v, reversing the direction of the geodesic, as $d > 3$).



Figure 4.2.

By minimality of d:

$$d_\triangle(p,v) = d_X(\bar{S}(p), \bar{S}(v)) = d-1. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $p \in \Delta$ is an internal vertex (g is neat), $N(p) \subset \Delta$, in particular $q \in \Delta$. As $\Delta^{(1)} \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ is an isometric embedding, q and v can be joined by a geodesic in $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ contained in Δ (but not necessarily neat). Since v lies in the shaded area, we can prolong this geodesic to a geodesic $\bar{g} : [0,d] \to \Delta$ such that $\bar{g}(0) = u,\ \bar{g}(1) = p,\ \bar{g}(2) = q,\ \bar{g}(d) = v$.

Consider the polygonal line $\xi = \bar{S} \circ \bar{g}$ joining vertices $\bar{S}(u), \bar{S}(v) \in X$ and a geodesic $\zeta : [0,d'] \to X$ with the same endpoints. By (4.2) $\xi|_{[1,d]}$ is a geodesic and as $\bar{S}$ is a locally isometric immersion, the vertices $\xi(0) = \bar{S}(u),\ \ \xi(1) = \bar{S}(p),\ \ \xi(2) = \bar{S}(q)$ are pairwise distinct. Thus ξ and ζ are injective. The concatenation $\zeta * \xi^{-1}$ need not be injective, but as $\xi|_{[1,d]}$ is a geodesic, the geodesic ζ can be chosen so that for certain $l > l' > 0$ we have $\xi|_{[l,d]} = \zeta|_{[l',d']}$ and $\gamma = \zeta|_{[0,l']} * \xi^{-1}|_{[0,l]}$ is a cycle in X (as in Figure 4.3). Let D be a minimal surface spanning γ. Choose ζ so that the area of Δ_D is minimal.

Consider the systolic disc Δ_D. Any vertex on $\zeta([0,l'])$ different from the endpoints has nonpositive defect (defect 2 is impossible by geodesity and defect 1 by minimality of the area of Δ_D). The sum of defects along ζ is therefore nonpositive, the sum of defects at its endpoints is at most 4 and the sum of defects along $\xi([1,l])$ is at most 1 by Remark 3.1. Thus the defect at $\xi(1)$ is not smaller than 1 (by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma) and is different from 2 (since $\bar{S}$ is a strong locally isometric immersion), so it is equal to 1. Thus by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma defects at vertices of $\zeta([0,l'])$ are equal to 0 and defect at $\xi(0)$ is equal to 2, as in the figure.



Figure 4.3.

Therefore $\bar{S}(u), \bar{S}(q) \in X_{\zeta(1)}$, so by Remark 4.8 we have $\bar{S}(N(p)) \subset X_{\zeta(1)}$. Thus the simplicial map $S' : \Delta \to X$ defined on the 0-skeleton by:

$$S'(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{S}(x), & \text{if } x \neq p \\ \zeta(1), & \text{if } x = p \end{cases}$$

is a well-defined surface. However by (4.1)

$$d_X(S'(p), S'(v)) = d' - 1 < d - 1 = d_\triangle(p,v),$$

contradicting minimality of d.

Step 2: *If $u, v \in \Delta$ are internal vertices, then they can be joined by a neat geodesic in Δ.*

Let $g : [0, d] \to \Delta$ be an arbitrary geodesic joining u and v. We modify g to another geodesic g' with the same endpoints and disjoint from $\partial\Delta$. For $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ such that $g(i) \in \partial\Delta$ we apply (if possible) modifications depicted below:



Figure 4.4.

- modification (a), if $\operatorname{def}(g(i)) = 1$;
- modification (b), if $\operatorname{def}(g(i)) = 0$ and one of $g(i-1), g(i+1) \in \Delta$ is internal;
- modification (c), if $\operatorname{def}(g(i)) = -1$ and both $g(i-1), g(i+1) \in \Delta$ are internal.

Since Δ is wide, in any case $g'(i)$ is an internal vertex, so every modification lowers the number of vertices in $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \partial\Delta$. Hence we perform finitely many modifications and arrive at the situation, when $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \partial\Delta$ is the union of disjoint segments in $\partial\Delta$ containing no positive vertices and having their endpoints at negative vertices. Since Δ is flat, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that the intersection is empty.

Step 3: *If $u \in \Delta$ is an internal vertex and $v \in \partial\Delta$, then $d_\Delta(u, v) = d_X(S(u), S(v))$.*

Let $g : [0, d] \to \Delta$ be a geodesic joining u and v. Since Δ is wide, there is an internal vertex $p \in \Delta$ connected with v by an edge. There are 3 cases:

(a) $d_\Delta(u, p) = d_\Delta(u, v) + 1 = d + 1$. Then we prolong g to a geodesic g' with both endpoints at internal vertices, by adding the edge vp. By Step 2 and Step 1 of the proof, g' is mapped by S to a geodesic in X and so is g.

(b) $d_\Delta(u, p) = d - 1$. Then by Step 2 we can join u and p by a geodesic g' disjoint from $\partial\Delta$. By adding the edge pv we obtain a neat geodesic with endpoints u and v, which by Step 1 is mapped by S to a geodesic in X and so is g.

(c) $d_\Delta(u, p) = d$. The link of Δ at the edge vp consists of two vertices (because p is an internal vertex). One of them is at distance $d - 1$ from u and the other, say a, is at distance $d + 1$. Adding the edge va we obtain a longer geodesic g', which still has one endpoint at internal vertex u and we repeat the argument. Since Δ is a finite complex, after finitely many steps we arrive to case (a) or (b).

This concludes Step 3 and completes the proof of the theorem. □

It is an important observation that any wide flat surface which is an almost isometric embedding, is an injective map onto a full subcomplex of X (see the corollary below). Therefore, we can treat such surfaces simply as full subcomplexes of X.

Corollary 4.11. *Let S be a wide flat surface (in a systolic complex X) which is an almost isometric embedding. Then:*

(1) *For every pair of vertices $u, v \in \Delta_S$ holds*

$$d_{\Delta_S}(u,v) - 1 \leq d_X(S(u), S(v)) \leq d_{\Delta_S}(u,v).$$

If one of u, v is an internal vertex, then the inequality on the right becomes equality.

(2) *Every geodesic line in Δ_S contained in $\partial\Delta_S$ is mapped by S to a geodesic in X.*

(3) *The map $S : \Delta_S \to X$ is injective and $\operatorname{Im}\Delta_S \subset X$ is a full subcomplex.*

Proof:

(1) By Theorem 4.10 it suffices to consider the case $u, v \in \partial\Delta_S$. Denote $d := d_{\Delta_S}(u,v)$. We need to prove that $d_X(S(u), S(v)) \geq d - 1$. Since S is wide, there is an internal vertex $v' \in \Delta_S$ connected with v by an edge. If $d_{\Delta_S}(u, v') \geq d$, then by the triangle inequality and Theorem 4.10:

$$d_X(S(u), S(v)) + 1 \geq d_X(S(u), S(v')) = d_{\Delta_S}(u, v') \geq d.$$

Otherwise $d_{\Delta_S}(u, v') = d - 1$, so there is a geodesic $g : [0, d] \to \Delta_S$ joining u with v such that $g(d-1) = v'$. By the triangle inequality $d_X(S(u), S(v)) \geq d - 2$. If the equality holds, then (since by Theorem 4.10 we have $d_X(S(u), S(v')) = d - 1$) there is a geodesic ξ in X joining $S(u)$ with $S(v')$ and passing through $S(v)$. Geodesics ξ and $S \circ g|_{[0,d-1]}$ have common endpoints $S(u)$ and $S(v') = S(g(d-1))$. We span a minimal surface D on the concatenation $S \circ g|_{[0,d-1]} * \xi^{-1}$. Applying the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma to Δ_D (by Lemma 4.2 defects at internal vertices of Δ_D are nonpositive and by Remark 3.1 the sum of defects along any of the two boundary geodesics of Δ_D is at most 1) we have that the defect of Δ_D at the counterimage of $S(v')$ is equal to 2. Thus vertices $S(v) = S(g(d)) \in X$ and $S(g(d-2)) \in X$ either coincide or are connected by an edge, whereas $g(d-2)\Delta_S$ and $g(d) \in \Delta_S$ are at distance 2. This contradicts the fact that S restricted to the 1-skeleton of $N(v') = N(g(d-1))$ is an isometric embedding. Thus $d_X(S(u), S(v)) \geq d - 1$.

(2) Since S is a wide flat surface, it has no boundary vertices of defect 2 and any two negative boundary vertices are separated by a positive one (Lemma 3.5). Thus every geodesic g contained in $\partial\Delta_S$ can be prolonged to a geodesic g' contained in $\partial\Delta_S$ so that the sum of defects along g' is equal to 1 (i.e. the first and the last nonzero vertex on g' have defects 1). Applying the procedure from Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.10 (see Figure 4.4) to g' we obtain a neat geodesic g'' with the same endpoints as g'. By Theorem 4.10 g'' is mapped by S to a geodesic in X, hence such are g' and g.

(3) By (1) we only need to prove that $d_{\Delta_S}(u,v) = d_X(S(u), S(v))$ for any vertices $u, v \in \partial\Delta_S$ such that $d_{\Delta_S}(u,v) \leq 2$. Since S is wide, any geodesic connecting such vertices either is neat or is contained in $\partial\Delta_S$. Thus Theorem 4.10 and part (2) of the corollary complete the proof. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the fundamental theorem on flat surfaces in systolic spaces.

Theorem 4.12. *For a wide flat surface S in a systolic complex X, the following are equivalent:*

(1) *S is a strong locally isometric immersion;*

(2) *S is an almost isometric embedding;*

(3) *S is a minimal surface.*

Moreover, if S is a wide flat minimal surface spanning a cycle γ, then any minimal surface M spanning γ is equivalent to S. In particular, the Hausdorff distance between $\operatorname{Im} S$ and $\operatorname{Im} M$ is at most 1.

Proof: In Theorem 4.10 we proved (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows immediately from the definitions and Corollary 4.11(2). Proposition 4.7 gives (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We need to prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Let S be a strong locally isometric immersion (thus, by Theorem 4.10, an almost isometric embedding and by Corollary 4.11(3) an injective map). Denote by M a minimal surface spanning the cycle $S(\partial \Delta_S)$. Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a permutation of all internal vertices of Δ_S. We construct a sequence $S_0, \dots S_n$ of wide and flat surfaces such that:

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= S && \\ S_i &\cong_{v_i} S_{i-1}, && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ S_i(v_i) &\in \operatorname{Im} M, && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Denote $\Delta = \Delta_S = \Delta_{S_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Suppose S_i has already been constructed. By Lemma 4.5 S_i is a strong locally isometric immersion, so by Theorem 4.10 and Corollary 4.11(3) it is an injective map onto a full subcomplex $\operatorname{Im} S_i \subset X$. Gluing S_i and M along $S_i|_{\partial \Delta} = M|_{\partial \Delta_M}$ we obtain a map $f : P \to X$ from a triangulation P of a sphere (it is simplicial, since Δ is wide). By Theorem 2.4 f can be extended to $F : B \to X$, where B is a triangulation of a ball that has no internal vertices and satisfies $\partial B = P$. The link $P_{v_{i+1}}$ is a cycle of length 6 and the link $B_{v_{i+1}}$ is a simplicial disc (not necessarily systolic) such that $\partial B_{v_{i+1}} = P_{v_{i+1}}$. Since S_i is injective and $\operatorname{Im} S_i \subset X$ is a full subcomplex, any internal vertex $w \in B_{v_{i+1}}$ lies in $\Delta_M \subset P$, so is mapped by F into $\operatorname{Im} M$.

To complete the proof we need the following lemma, which will be proved later:

Lemma 4.13. *Let X be a systolic complex and Δ a simplicial disc (possibly not systolic) of perimeter 6. If there exists a simplicial map $f : \Delta \to X$ such that $f|_{\partial \Delta}$ is an isomorphism onto a cycle in X having no diagonals, then there is an internal vertex $w \in \Delta$ such that $f(\partial \Delta) \subset X_{f(w)}$.*

Applying the lemma to $F|_{B_{v_{i+1}}}$ (it satisfies the assumptions, since S_i is a locally isometric immersion) we obtain an internal vertex $w \in B_{v_{i+1}}$ such that the simplicial map defined on 0-skeleton by:

$$S_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} S_i(x), & \text{if } x \neq v_{i+1} \\ F(w), & \text{if } x = v_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

extends to a surface. Clearly S_{i+1} satisfies (4.3).

The last surface in the sequence, S_n, by (4.3) maps the set of internal vertices of Δ injectively into the set

$$\{M(w):\ w \in \Delta_M \text{ is an internal vertex}\}$$

(by Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.10 S_n is an almost isometric embedding and by Corollary 4.11(3) it is injective). Thus, since M is a minimal surface and $M|_{\partial\Delta_M} = S_n|_\Delta$, by Pick's Formula Δ_M has not more internal vertices than Δ has. It follows that S_n maps $\Delta^{(0)}$ bijectively onto $(\operatorname{Im} M)^{(0)}$ and M is injective. As $\operatorname{Im} S_n \subset X$ is a full subcomplex (Corollary 4.11(3)), we have $\operatorname{Im} M \subset \operatorname{Im} S_n$. But both $\operatorname{Im} M$ and $\operatorname{Im} S_n$ are simplicial discs and they have a common boundary, so $\operatorname{Im} M = \operatorname{Im} S_n$. Moreover, $\Delta_M \cong \operatorname{Im} M = \operatorname{Im} S_n \cong \Delta$. Therefore, identifying Δ_M with Δ we obtain $M = S_n$, so S_n is a minimal surface and so is S.

As the above construction shows, if S is a wide flat minimal surface spanning a cycle γ, then any minimal surface M spanning γ is equivalent to S. In particular, the Hausdorff distance between $\operatorname{Im} S$ and $\operatorname{Im} M$ is at most 1 (Lemma 4.6). □

Proof of Lemma 4.13: We modify f to $f' : \Delta' \to X$, where Δ' is a systolic disc such that $\partial\Delta = \partial\Delta'$, the internal vertices of Δ' are vertices of Δ, and $f|_{\partial\Delta} = f'|_{\partial\Delta'}$. If Δ contains a cycle γ of length 3 not bounding a triangle in Δ, then we cut out the disc of Δ bounded by γ and glue in a single triangle. By flagness of X we modify f. If Δ does not contain such cycles and is not systolic, then there is an internal vertex $v \in \Delta$ adjacent to 4 or 5 triangles. Then we modify Δ by cutting out the open star of v and gluing in a simplicial disc with no internal vertices, such that f can be extended over the new triangulation (this is possible by systolicity of X). These operations decrease the number of internal vertices of Δ, so the procedure terminates, producing a systolic disc Δ' such that $\partial\Delta = \partial\Delta'$ and a simplicial map $f' : \Delta' \to X$ which extends $f|_{\partial\Delta}$.

Nonconsecutive vertices of $\partial\Delta = \partial\Delta'$ are not connected by an edge, because $f(\partial\Delta)$ has no diagonals. Moreover, by the isoperimetric inequality the area of Δ' is at most 6, so by Pick's Formula Δ' has at most one internal vertex. Therefore $\Delta' = \partial\Delta' * w$, where $w \in \Delta'$ is the only internal vertex. As our procedure did not add any new vertex, w is an internal vertex of Δ and $f(w) = f'(w)$. Moreover, since $f'(\partial\Delta') = f(\partial\Delta)$ is a cycle in X with no diagonals, $f'(w) \notin f(\partial\Delta')$, so $f(\partial\Delta) \subset X_{f(w)}$. □

Theorem 4.12 gives an alternative proof of the following theorem, proved by P. Przytycki in [P] (for the definition of a flat see Section 5):

Corollary 4.14. *Let X be a systolic complex, admitting a simplicial cocompact and properly discontinuous action of a group G. Then X is Gromov-hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain flat.*

To prove the Corollary we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.15. *Let Δ be a systolic disc and $\gamma \subset \partial\Delta$ a geodesic in Δ.*

(1) *Denote by $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ the subcomplex obtained by cutting out open stars of every vertex $v \in \gamma$. Then $\mathrm{hdist}_\Delta(\Delta, \Delta') = 1$ and either Δ' has a disconnecting vertex or it is a systolic disc such that $\gamma' := \partial\Delta' \setminus \partial\Delta$ is a geodesic.*

(2) *If $\partial\Delta$ is a concatenation of three geodesics: α, β and γ, then for every natural c $\gamma \subset \mathcal{N}_{2c}(\alpha \cup \beta \cup \Delta_c)$ holds, where $\Delta_c \subset \Delta$ is the subcomplex spanned by all vertices $v \in \Delta$ satisfying $\mathrm{dist}(v, \partial\Delta) \geq c$.*

Proof: Suppose Δ' has no disconnecting vertices and γ' is not a geodesic in Δ'. Let $v', w' \in \gamma' \subset \Delta'$ be endpoints of the shortest segment of γ' which is not a geodesic in Δ'. Connect v' and w' by a geodesic g' in Δ', choose vertices $v, w \in \gamma$ connected by edges with v' and w', respectively. Let v, w and g' be such that the subcomplex $D \subset \Delta$ bounded by the loop $g^{-1} * vv' * g' * ww'$, where g is the segment of γ with endpoints v and w, has the minimal area. As D is a systolic disc, by Remark 3.1 the sum of its defects along g is at most 1, by minimality of its area the sum of defects along g' is nonpositive, defects at v, w, v' and w' are at most 1 (by minimality of the length of $[v', w'] \subset \gamma'$ and minimality of the area of D), what gives a contradiction with the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma. This proves (1).

To prove (2) it suffices to show that $\gamma \subset \mathcal{N}_c(\alpha \cup \beta \cup \Delta_c^\gamma)$, where $\Delta_c^\gamma \subset \Delta$ denotes the subcomplex spanned by all vertices $v \in \Delta$ satisfying $\mathrm{dist}(v, \gamma) \geq c$. We proceed by induction on c using (1), applying the inductive assumption to maximal subcomplexes of Δ' having no disconnecting vertices. □

Proof of Corollary 4.14: Suppose X is not Gromov-hyperbolic. Then for every n there exists a loop being the concatenation of three geodesics α_n, β_n, γ_n, such that $\gamma_n \not\subset \mathcal{N}_n(\alpha_n \cup \beta_n)$. Let S_n be a minimal surface spanning this loop. Thus by Lemma 4.15(2) there exists a vertex $v \in \Delta_{S_n}$ such that $\mathrm{dist}_{\Delta_{S_n}}(v, \partial\Delta_{S_n}) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Since by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma and Remark 3.1, there are at most 3 negative internal vertices in Δ_{S_n}, there is a vertex w on a geodesic joining v with the closest vertex in $\partial\Delta_{S_n}$, such that $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{8}\cdot\frac{n}{2}}(w)$ does not contain a negative internal vertex, so it is an equilaterally triangulated regular hexagon of side length $[\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{n}{2}]$. The 1-skeleton of the hexagon is isometrically embedded into Δ_{S_n}, so by Theorem 4.12 is isometrically embedded into X. Thus by cocompactness of the action of G and by the standard diagonal argument (X is uniformly locally finite, since G acts cocompactly and properly discontinuously), we obtain a flat in X. □

4.3. Stability of minimal surfaces

Now we answer question (4) from the introduction, namely we prove stability of minimal surfaces under small modifications of their boundaries. The theorem below concerns more general situation than wide flat surfaces, namely injective maps whose images are full subcomplexes of X (by Corollary 4.11(3) any wide flat surface is such). We expect stability of minimal surfaces to hold in full generality, i.e. that the assumption on S and S' to be injective maps onto full subcomplexes is unnecessary.

To formulate the theorem we need to define the function measuring how much one of the cycles has to be deformed to obtain the other cycle. Given cycles γ and γ' in a systolic complex X, we denote by $d(\gamma, \gamma')$ the minimum of the following expression:

$$\max\{d_X(f(v), f'(v)),\ v \in C^{(0)}\}$$

taken over all triangulations C of a circle and over all simplicial maps $f : C \to \gamma$ and $f' : C \to \gamma'$ that are surjective and monotonous (i.e. counterimages of vertices in γ or γ' are segments in C).

Theorem 4.16. *Let γ and γ' be cycles in a systolic complex X with $d(\gamma, \gamma') = c$ and let S and S' be minimal surfaces spanning them. If S and S' are injections and $\operatorname{Im} S$, $\operatorname{Im} S'$ are full subcomplexes of X, then:*

(1) $\operatorname{hdist}_X(\operatorname{Im} S, \operatorname{Im} S') \le c + 1$.

(2) *If S is a flat surface and $w \in \Delta_S^{(0)}$ satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_S) > c + 1$, then $\bar{S}(w) \in \operatorname{Im} S'$ for some surface $\bar{S}$ which is w-equivalent to S. In particular, $S(w) \in \mathcal{N}_1(\operatorname{Im} S')$.*

Proof: Choose C, f and f' realizing $d(\gamma, \gamma')$ and denote successive vertices of C by $t_1, \ldots, t_n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ choose a geodesic g_i in X joining $f(t_i) = v_i \in \gamma$ with $f'(t_i) = v_i' \in \gamma'$ (we allow g_i to be a single vertex). The concatenation $v_{i+1}v_i * g_i * v_i'v_{i+1}' * g_{i+1}^{-1}$ (we use the cyclic order of indices) is a closed path in X, so by Lemma 4.2 there is a simplicial map $s_i : D_i \to X$ from a systolic disc D_i mapping ∂D_i to this path.

Step 1: *For any vertex $w \in \Delta_S$ we have $S(w) \in \mathcal{N}_1(\operatorname{Im} S' \cup \operatorname{Im} s_1 \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{Im} s_n)$. Moreover, if S is flat and $w \in \Delta_S$ is an internal vertex, then there is a surface $\bar{S} \cong_w S$ such that $\bar{S}(w) \in \operatorname{Im} S' \cup \operatorname{Im} s_1 \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{Im} s_n$.*

We glue maps S, S' and $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ to obtain a simplicial map $f : P \to X$, where P is a triangulation of a sphere. It can be extended to $F : B \to X$, for some triangulation B of a ball such that $\partial B = P$ and B has no internal vertices (Theorem 2.4). In the case $w \in \partial\Delta_S$ the statement is immediate. Thus consider the case when w is an internal vertex of Δ_S. As $w \in \Delta_S \subset P \subset B$, consider the link B_w – it is a filling of the cycle P_w. Since S is injective and $\operatorname{Im} S \subset X$ is a full subcomplex, B_w has at least one internal vertex and internal vertices of B_w are disjoint with $\Delta_S \subset P$. Thus $S(w) \in \mathcal{N}_1(\operatorname{Im} S' \cup \operatorname{Im} s_1 \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{Im} s_n)$. If S is a flat surface and $w \in \Delta_S$ an internal vertex, then by Lemma 4.13 there is a surface $\bar{S}$ which is w-equivalent to S such that $\bar{S}(w) \in \operatorname{Im} S' \cup \operatorname{Im} s_1 \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{Im} s_n$.

Step 2: *Let D be a systolic disc and let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \partial D$ be vertices such that ∂D is the concatenation of the edge a_1a_2 (or the vertex a_1, if $a_1 = a_2$), the edge b_1b_2 (or the vertex b_1, if $b_1 = b_2$) and geodesics $[a_1, b_1]$ and $[a_2, b_2]$. Then D is spanned by all geodesics joining a_i with b_j, for $i, j = 1, 2$.*

We proceed by induction on the area of D. The statement is trivial when D is a single triangle. If there is a vertex $v \in (a_i, b_i) \subset \partial D$ of positive defect (i.e. of defect 1, by geodesity of $[a_i, b_i]$), then we cut out two triangles adjacent to v obtaining either a smaller disc D' or two discs D' and D'' intersecting at a single vertex and apply the inductive assumption.

If $a_1 \neq a_2$ and D has defect 2 at a_i, then we cut out the only triangle adjacent to a_i and apply the inductive assumption. We proceed similarly with b_1 and b_2.

If none of the above cases occur, then defects at a_1 and a_2 are not greater than 1 (if $a_1 \neq a_2$) or the defect at $a_1 = a_2$ is not greater than 2 and similarly with b_1 and b_2, and the sum of defects along the geodesic $[a_i, b_i]$ is nonpositive, for $i = 1, 2$. Thus the sum of defects at vertices on ∂D does not exceed 4, contradicting the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma.

Step 3: $\operatorname{Im} S \subset \mathcal{N}_{c+1}(\operatorname{Im} S')$ *and* $\operatorname{Im} S' \subset \mathcal{N}_{c+1}(\operatorname{Im} S)$. *If S is flat and $w \in \Delta_S$ is an internal vertex such that* $\operatorname{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_S) > c + 1$, *then* $\bar{S}(w) \in \operatorname{Im} S'$ *for some surface* $\bar{S}$ *w-equivalent to S.*

By Step 2, $\operatorname{Im} s_i \subset \mathcal{N}_c(\gamma')$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, so by Step 1 we have $\operatorname{Im} S \subset \mathcal{N}_{c+1}(\operatorname{Im} S')$. Similarly we obtain $\operatorname{Im} S' \subset \mathcal{N}_{c+1}(\operatorname{Im} S)$. The second statement follows from Lemma 4.13 applied to $S|_{B_w}$ and the fact that $\operatorname{Im} s_i \subset \mathcal{N}_c(\gamma) \subset \mathcal{N}_c(S(\partial\Delta_S))$. $\square$

The following corollary provides the answer to question (3) from the introduction in a more general case than Theorem 4.12 does.

Corollary 4.17. *If S and S' are minimal surfaces which are injections onto full subcomplexes spanning the same cycle, then the Hausdorff distance between them is at most 1.*

5. Flats in systolic complexes

A *flat* in a systolic complex X is a simplicial map $F : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to X$ which is an isometric embedding of 1-skeleton of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ into X. We will identify F with its image and treat F as a subcomplex of X.

Definition 5.1. *Two flats F and F' in a systolic complex X are called* equivalent *if they are at finite Hausdorff distance.*

The above definition is different from the one for flat surfaces (Definition 4.4). However, in Lemma 5.3 we provide a characterization of flat equivalence similar to flat surfaces equivalence. In Theorem 5.4 we show that the Hausdorff distance between equivalent flats is actually at most 1 and there is a unique simplicial retraction onto F of the subcomplex of X spanned by all flats equivalent to F.

Now we restate the main theorem from Section 4 (Theorem 4.12) for flats. In order to do it we generalize the notions of a *locally isometric immersion* and a *strong locally isometric immersion* for flats by replacing a triangulated disc Δ_S with the flat systolic plane $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ in Definition 4.3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a systolic complex and $F : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to X$ a simplicial map.*

(1) *If F is a strong locally isometric immersion, then it is a flat.*

(2) *If F is a locally isometric immersion and* $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{Im} F) \geq 3$, *then it is a flat.*

Proof: Part (1) of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.12 applied to $F|_{\Delta_n}$ for a sequence of regular hexagons $\Delta_n \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. To prove (2) we need to show that under the additional assumption $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{Im} F) \geq 3$, a locally isometric immersion is a strong locally isometric immersion.

Suppose F is locally isometric, but not strong locally isometric. Then by Proposition 4.7 there is an edge $uv \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ such that $F|_{\partial N(uv)}$ can be extended to a surface S ($\partial\Delta_S = \partial N(uv)$) so that Δ_S has at most one internal vertex (Pick's Formula). Thus either $\partial\Delta_S$ has a diagonal joining two non-consecutive vertices (which contradicts the fact that F is locally isometric), or $\Delta_S = w * \partial\Delta_S$, where $w \in \Delta_S$ is the only internal vertex. Define $x = S(w) \in X$ and put:

$$\Delta_n = \begin{cases} N(uv), & \text{if } n = 0 \\ N(\Delta_{n-1}), & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Proceeding by induction we prove that $F(\Delta_n) \subset X_x$, for every $n \geq 0$.

(i) We already know that $F(\partial\Delta_0) \subset X_x$, so applying Remark 4.8 to hexagons $N(u)$ and $N(v)$ we obtain $F(\Delta_0) \subset X_x$.

(ii) Suppose $F(\Delta_{n-1}) \subset X_x$. Denote successive vertices of $\partial\Delta_n$ by $b_1, \ldots, b_k$, such that b_1 has defect 0. By induction on i we obtain $b_i \in X_x$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. It follows from Remark 4.8 applied to a hexagon with the center and two opposite vertices in $\partial\Delta_{n-1}$ (in case $i = 1$) or to a hexagon with the center in $\partial\Delta_{n-1}$, vertex b_{i-1} and the opposite vertex in Δ_{n-1}. (in case $i > 1$). Thus the image of Δ_n is contained in X_x.

It follows that $\operatorname{Im} F \subset X_x$, hence the diameter of $\operatorname{Im} F$ is not greater than 2, contrary to the assumption. □

We define for two flats a relation $\cong_v$, similar to that from Definition 4.4: flats F and F' are v-equivalent if $F(x) = F'(x)$ for all vertices $x \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ distinct from v.

Lemma 5.3. *Let F and F' be equivalent flats in a systolic complex X. Then there exist a sequence of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and a sequence of flats $F = F_0, F_1, F_2, \ldots$ such that:*

- *$F_i \cong_{v_i} F_{i-1}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$,*
- *the flat $F'' = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} F_n$ (pointwise convergence) has the same image as F'.*

Moreover, we can choose $(v_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ to be an arbitrary permutation of vertices of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$.

Since $X^{(0)}$ is a discrete space, the pointwise convergence of flats is equivalent to stabilizing of sequences $F_0(v), F_1(v), \ldots \in X$ for all vertices $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. We therefore prove that equivalent flats are obtained from each other by a (possibly infinite) sequence of small deformations such that on every compact subcomplex $K \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ only finitely many of them are applied.

Proof: Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be any permutation of vertices of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. We construct a sequence of flats $(F_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= F \\ F_i &\cong_{v_i} F_{i-1}, &&\text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots \\ F_i(v_i) &\in \operatorname{Im} F', &&\text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose we have already constructed F_n. Denote $c = \operatorname{hdist}_X(F_n, F')$ ($c < \infty$, since F_n and F' are equivalent). If $F_n(v_{n+1}) \in \operatorname{Im} F'$, then we put $F_{n+1} := F_n$. Otherwise, consider the regular hexagon $H \subset \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ of side length $40c$ with center v_{n+1}. Denote by $a_1, \ldots, a_6$ the images by F_n of the vertices of H and by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ the images by F_n of its sides. Let ξ_i be the shortest geodesic joining a_i with F' and denote its endpoint by $b_i \in F'$ (possibly $b_i = a_i$). Since flats are isometric embeddings, we can join b_i with b_{i+1} by a geodesic τ_i contained in F', for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ (we use the cyclic order of indices). By Lemma 4.2 there exist simplicial maps:

- $h' : H' \to X$, where H' is a systolic disc and h' maps $\partial H'$ to the closed path $\tau_1 * \ldots * \tau_6$ such that $\operatorname{Im} H' \subset \operatorname{Im} F'$;
- $s_i : D_i \to X$, where D_i is a systolic disc and s_i maps ∂D_i to the closed path $\xi_i * \tau_i * \xi_{i+1}^{-1} * \sigma_i^{-1}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$.

Gluing $F_n|_H$, h' and $s_1, \ldots, s_6$ we obtain a simplicial map $p : S \to X$ from certain triangulation S of a sphere. By Theorem 2.4 we extend it to $P : B \to X$, where B is a triangulation of a ball that has no internal vertices and satisfies $\partial B = S$. Thus $B_{v_{n+1}}$ is a simplicial disc of perimeter 6 (as the link $S_{v_{n+1}}$ is a cycle of length 6). Applying Lemma 4.13 to $P|_{B_{v_{n+1}}}$ we obtain an internal vertex $y \in B_{v_{n+1}}$ such that $P(\partial B_{v_{n+1}}) \subset X_{P(y)}$. We put $F_{n+1} : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to X$ to be the simplicial map defined on the 0-skeleton by:

$$F_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} F_n(x), & \text{if } x \neq v_{n+1} \\ P(y), & \text{if } x = v_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

The map F_{n+1} coincides with the flat F_n at all vertices but v_{n+1} and for any vertex $w \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ there is a vertex $w' \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and a geodesic joining w with w' that passes through v_{n+1}, so:

$$d(w, w') = d(F_{n+1}(w), F_{n+1}(w')) \leq d(F_{n+1}(w), F_{n+1}(v_{n+1})) + d(F_{n+1}(v_{n+1}), F_{n+1}(w')) \leq \\ \leq d(w, v_{n+1}) + d(v_{n+1}, w') = d(w, w')$$

Thus all inequalities are actually equalities, so F_{n+1} is a flat.

To see that F_{n+1} satisfies (5.1) we need to prove that $P(y) \in \operatorname{Im} F''$. Since $F_n|_H$ is an isometric embedding, $y \in B_{v_{n+1}}$ is contained in $H' \cup D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_6$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4:

$$D_i \subset \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{6}(|\xi_i| + |\tau_i| + |\xi_{i+1}| + |\sigma_i|)}(\partial D_i) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{6}(c+42c+c+40c)}(\partial D_i) = \mathcal{N}_{14c}(\partial D_i) \subset \mathcal{N}_{36c}(\partial H)$$

so $P(D_i) \subset \mathcal{N}_{36c}(P(\partial H))$, while

$$\operatorname{dist}(y, P(\partial H)) \geq \operatorname{dist}(F_n(v_n), F_n(\partial H)) - 1 = 40c - 1.$$

Thus $y \notin D_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, so $y \in H'$ and therefore $P(y) \in \operatorname{Im} F''$.

The flat $F'' = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n$ satisfies $\operatorname{Im} F'' \subset \operatorname{Im} F'$, hence $\operatorname{Im} F'' = \operatorname{Im} F'$ (as $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ is not isomorphic to a proper subcomplex). $\square$

5.1. Thickenings of flats

Theorem 5.4. *Let F be a flat in a systolic complex X. Denote by $Th(F) \subset X$ (the* thickening of F*) the full subcomplex spanned by all flats at finite Hausdorff distance from F. Then:*

(1) *Every maximal simplex of $Th(F)$ has nonempty intersection with F.*
(2) *There is a unique simplicial retraction $r : Th(F) \to F$. Moreover, r restricted to any flat $F' \subset Th(F)$ is an isometry.*
(3) *Every map $s : F^{(0)} \to Th(F)$ such that $r \circ s = id_{F^{(0)}}$ extends to a flat and every flat in $Th(F)$ is of this form. Moreover, $r^{-1}(v)$ is a simplex in X for any vertex $v \in F$.*

Proof: For every vertex $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ denote by σ_v the simplex spanned by vertices $F'(v)$ for all flats F' that are v-equivalent to F (these vertices span a simplex by Fact 2.2). Clearly $\sigma_v \subset Th(F)$. Notice that by Lemma 5.3 for every flat $F' \subset Th(F)$ and for every vertex $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ there is a flat F_1 such that $F_1 \cong_v F$ and $F_1(v) = F'(v)$. Hence $Th(F)$ is spanned by σ_v for $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$.

If $F' \cong_v F \cong_w F''$ for some distinct vertices $v, w \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$, then by Lemma 5.3 (applied to F' and F'') there exists a flat $\bar{F}$ such that $F' \cong_w \bar{F} \cong_v F''$. Thus:

$$\bar{F}(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & \text{if } x \neq v, w \\ F'(v), & \text{if } x = v \\ F''(w), & \text{if } x = w \end{cases}$$

Since $\bar{F}$ restricted to 1-skeleton of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ is an isometric embedding, we have

$$d_X(F'(v), F''(w)) = d_{\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle}(v, w).$$

Hence there are no edges joining σ_v with σ_w, if $v \neq w \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ are not connected by an edge and every vertex of σ_v is connected by an edge with every vertex of σ_w, if $v, w \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ are connected by an edge. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} (Th(F))^{(0)} &= \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} (\sigma_v)^{(0)} \\ (Th(F))^{(1)} &= \bigcup_{uv \in \mathcal{E}} (\sigma_u * \sigma_v)^{(1)} \\ Th(F) &= \bigcup_{uvw \in \mathcal{T}} (\sigma_u * \sigma_v * \sigma_w) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ denote sets of vertices, edges and triangles of $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$, respectively. This implies (1), as maximal simplices of $Th(F)$ are $\sigma_u * \sigma_v * \sigma_w$, where $uvw \in \mathcal{T}$.

Any map $s : F^{(0)} \to Th(F)$, such that $s(v) \in \sigma_v$ for every $v \in F^{(0)}$ extends to an injective map $S : F \to Th(F)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} S \subset X$ is a full subcomplex. By Theorem 5.2(2) S is a flat and by Lemma 5.3 every flat in $Th(F)$ has this form.

Let $r : Th(F) \to F$ be a simplicial retraction. For every vertex $p \in \sigma_v$ there is a flat $\bar{F} \cong_v F$ such that $\bar{F}(v) = p$. Since $r|_F = id_F$ and r is simplicial, $r(p) = v$. Thus $r(\sigma_v) = v$, for every $v \in F$. Clearly a function mapping σ_v to v for every $v \in F$, has a simplicial extension to the unique simplicial retraction $r : Th(F) \to F$, which when restricted to any flat is an isometry. This completes the proof of (2) and (3). $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *The action of any group G on the thickening $Th(F)$ induces an action of G on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$. Moreover, if $Th(F)$ is locally finite and the action is properly discontinuous, then so is the induced action on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$.*

Proof: Denote by $r_F : Th(F) \to F$ the retraction constructed in Theorem 5.4 and by $a_g : Th(F) \to Th(F)$ the action of $g \in G$ on $Th(F)$. Notice that by (5.2) the 1-skeletons of σ_v (for $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$) are precisely the connected components of the subgraph of $Th(F)$ consisting of those edges that cannot be extended to a geodesic of length 2 inside $Th(F)$. Thus a_g permutes simplices σ_v and we can define the action of G on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ by:

$$G \ni g \mapsto (r_F \circ g)|_F \in \mathrm{Aut}(F) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle).$$

We need to show that $(r_F \circ g') \circ (r_F \circ g) = r_F \circ (g'g)$ for any $g, g' \in G$. Both maps restrict to the same isometry $\varphi : g^{-1}(F) \to F$. Thus:

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ (r_F \circ g') \circ (r_F \circ g) = \varphi^{-1} \circ r_F \circ (g'g)$$

as by Theorem 5.4 there is a unique simplicial retraction of $Th(F) = Th(g^{-1}(F))$ on the flat $g^{-1}(F)$, what completes the proof of the main part of the corollary. The second part follows from the fact that $r_F^{-1}(v)$ is a simplex in $Th(F)$ for any vertex $v \in F$ and $Th(F)$ is locally finite. $\square$

6. Flat Torus Theorem

In this section we study virtually abelian subgroups of rank at least 2 in systolic groups. Actually, Januszkiewicz and Świątkowski proved that systolic groups do not contain abelian subgroups of rank greater than 2 ([JS2], Corollary 5.5; we give an alternative proof of that), so we are mainly interested in actions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on systolic complexes. Actions of finite extensions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are described in Corollary 6.2.

Let X be a simplicial complex and G a group acting on X by simplicial automorphisms. Recall, that G acts *cocompactly* if there is a compact subset $K \subset X$ intersecting every orbit of the action, and *properly discontinuously* if the stabilizer of any vertex $v \in X$ is finite (this is a weaker condition than the usual definition for metric spaces, but for simplicial complexes it is equivalent to the standard one).

If X admits a cocompact properly discontinuous action of a group, then it is uniformly locally finite (i.e. there is a finite upper bound for valences of its vertices). Thus the action of G is cocompact if and only if there are finitely many orbits of vertices.

For any $g \in G$ we define $\mathrm{Min}(g)$ to be the subcomplex spanned by vertices $x \in X$ realizing the minimal displacement of g, i.e. $d(x, g(x)) = \min\limits_{y \in X} d(y, g(y))$. We also define:

$$\mathrm{Min}(G) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \mathrm{Min}(g)$$

We show that $\mathrm{Min}(G)$ is nonempty for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ acting properly discontinuously on a systolic complex X. In fact, we prove that $\mathrm{Min}(G)$ is a thickening of a G-invariant flat. This result is a systolic analogue of the Flat Torus Theorem for CAT(0)-spaces ([BH]).

Theorem 6.1. (Flat Torus Theorem) *Let G be a noncyclic free abelian group acting simplicially and properly discontinuously on a uniformly locally finite systolic complex X. Then:*

(1) *G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$.*

(2) *There is a G-invariant flat $F \subset X$, unique up to flat equivalence.*

(3) $\mathrm{Min}(G)$ *is nonempty and is equal to the thickening of a G-invariant flat.*

Proof: Since G is torsion-free and acts properly discontinuously, the action is also free. In Steps 1–4 we prove the theorem for $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. In Step 5 we complete the proof.

Step 1: *There exists an H-invariant flat in X for a certain finite-index subgroup $H < G$.*

Choose a vertex $x \in X$ and elements $g, h \in G$ generating G. Connect x with $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ by geodesics α and β, respectively, and denote by γ the closed path being the concatenation $\alpha * g(\beta) * h(\alpha^{-1}) * \beta^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a map $f : \Delta \to X$, where Δ is a systolic disc, mapping $\partial\Delta$ onto γ.

Denote by Y the full subcomplex of X spanned by the orbits of all vertices of $f(\Delta)$. Then Y is G-invariant and G acts freely and cocompactly on Y. Thus by local finiteness of Y there is a finite-index subgroup $H < G$ generated by g^n and h^n for some n such that:

$$\min_{p \in H \setminus \{1\}, y \in Y^{(0)}} d_Y(y, p(y)) > 3, \tag{6.1}$$

so the quotient space Y/H is a flag simplicial complex. Since links of Y/H are isomorphic to links of Y, the quotient complex is locally 6-large.

By the construction of Y, $x \in Y$ and there are such geodesics α' and β' joining x with $g^n(x)$ and $h^n(x)$, respectively, that there exists a simplicial map $f : \Delta' \to Y$, where Δ' is a simplicial disc mapping $\partial\Delta'$ to the concatenation $\alpha' * g^n(\beta') * h^n(\alpha'^{-1}) * \beta'^{-1}$. This gives us a map $f' : T \to Y/H$, where T is a triangulation of a torus. The following diagram of simplicial maps commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{T} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{f'}"] \arrow[dd] & \widetilde{Y} \arrow[d] & \\
 & Y \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & X \\
T \arrow[r, "f'"] & Y/H &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{T}$ is the universal covering of T, i.e. a triangulation of a plane (possibly not systolic). Now we modify T to a systolic triangulation, applying three kinds of operations:

(a) If there exists in T a cycle ξ of length 3 not bounding a triangle in T, then by (6.1) $f(\xi)$ is homotopically trivial loop in Y/H and since $f_* : \pi_1(T) \to \pi_1(Y/H)$ is injective, ξ is homotopically trivial in T. Therefore it disconnects T into two components, one of them being a simplicial disc. Replacing the disc with a single triangle we obtain another triangulation of a torus T'. The map f can be extended over the new triangulation, since Y/H is a flag complex.

(b) If any cycle of length 3 in T bounds a triangle and there is a vertex $v \in T$ adjacent to 4 or 5 triangles, we cut out the open star of v and glue a filling without internal vertices, such that f' can be extended over the new triangulation (it is possible since Y/H is locally 6-large), obtaining another simplicial triangulation of a torus T'.

(c) If any cycle of length 3 in T bounds a triangle and there exists a vertex v adjacent to 6 or more triangles such that $f'(T_v)$ can be filled without internal vertices, then we apply the procedure from (b) also in this case.

As we modify T, we modify f'. Since each operation (a), (b), (c) lowers the number of vertices in T, the procedure terminates. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that any vertex in T is adjacent to at least 6 triangles and $f'|_{\partial N(v)}$ cannot be extended over a simplicial disc with boundary $\partial N(v)$ and with no internal vertices, for every vertex $v \in T$. Since the Euler characteristic of a torus is 0, this implies that any vertex is adjacent to 6 triangles, so the universal covering $\widetilde{T}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and $\widetilde{f'} : \widetilde{T} \to \widetilde{Y}$ is a locally isometric immersion (Proposition 4.7(1)). Composing f' with the covering map $\widetilde{Y} \to Y$ we obtain a locally isometric immersion $p : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to Y$, whose image is H-invariant. Since $Y \subset X$ is a full subcomplex, p treated as a map to X is also a locally isometric immersion, so by Theorem 5.2 it is an H-invariant flat (the diameter of its image is greater than 3 by local finiteness of X and freedom of the action of G).

Step 2: *If there exists in X an H-invariant flat F, where $H < G$ is a finite-index subgroup, then there exists a G-invariant flat F'. Moreover, any vertex $v \in Th(F)$ is contained in some G-invariant flat.*

Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ be representants of all cosets of H. Since G is abelian, $F_i = g_i(F)$ are H-invariant flats. As $F^{(0)}$ consists of a finite number of H-orbits, there is a constant c such that $\mathrm{hdist}_X(Hx, F) \le c$ and similarly $\mathrm{hdist}_X(Hg_i(x), F_i) \le c$. As any two H-orbits are at finite Hausdorff distance, F_i is at finite Hausdorff distance from F, so by Theorem 5.4 we have $F_i \subset Th(F)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For every $g \in G$ there is i such that $g(F) = F_i$, so $g(Th(F)) = Th(F_i) = Th(F)$ (the last equality follows from the finite Hausdorff distance between F_i and F) and $Th(F)$ is therefore G-invariant. By Corollary 5.5 the retraction $r : Th(F) \to F \cong \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ defined in Theorem 5.4 induces an action of G on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$, which is free, as G is torsion-free. We choose equivariantly vertices $F'(v) \in r^{-1}(v) \subset X$ for $v \in \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and by Theorem 5.4(3) extend to a G-invariant flat $F' : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to X$.

Step 3: *If F is a G-invariant flat, then $F \subset \mathrm{Min}(G)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Min}(G)$ is nonempty.*

Let $g \in G$, $v \in F$ and $y \in \mathrm{Min}(g)$. There is a g-invariant geodesic in F passing through v, on which g acts by translation. By the triangle inequality:

$$n \cdot d(v, g(v)) = d(v, g^n(v)) \leq d(v, y) + d(y, g^n(y)) + d(g^n(y), g^n(v)) \leq 2 \cdot d(v, y) + n \cdot d(y, g(y))$$

for any natural n, so $d(v, g(v)) \leq d(y, g(y))$, hence $v \in \mathrm{Min}(g)$. As this holds for any $g \in G$ and any vertex $v \in F$, we have $F \subset \mathrm{Min}(G)$.

Step 4: *If F is a G-invariant flat, then* $\mathrm{Min}(G) = Th(F)$.

By Steps 2 and 3 we have $Th(F) \subset \mathrm{Min}(G)$. Now we prove the opposite inclusion. Let $v \in \mathrm{Min}(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex. It suffices to find a G-invariant flat containing v.

Choose in $F^{(1)}$ two convex half-lines k and l with a common endpoint x intersecting at the angle $\frac{2}{3}\pi$. Since the action of G on $F \cong \mathbb{E}^2_{\triangle}$ is cocompact, there are non-trivial elements $g, h \in G$ such that $g(x) \in k$ and $h(x) \in l$. Replacing g and h by some powers we can assume that $d(x, g(x)) = d(x, h(x)) > 3$. Therefore the vertices x, $g(x)$, $h(x)$, $g^2h(x)$, $gh^2(x)$, $g^2h^2(x)$ and the geodesics α (joining x with $g(x)$), β (joining x with $h(x)$), γ (joining $h(x)$ with $gh^2(x)$), $gh^2(\alpha)$, $g^2h(\beta)$, $gh^{-1}(\gamma)$ bound a regular hexagon in F (as in Figure 6.1(a)).



Figure 6.1.

Join vertices v, $g(v)$, $h(v)$, $g^2h(v)$, $gh^2(v)$, $g^2h^2(v)$ in X by geodesics ξ, ζ, χ and $gh^2(\xi)$, $g^2h(\zeta)$ and $hg^{-1}(\chi)$ (as in Figure 6.1(b)). Since $x, v \in \mathrm{Min}(G)$, for any elements $p, q \in G$ we have:

$$d(p(x), q(x)) = d(p(v), q(v)) \tag{6.2}$$

Notice that any two consecutive sides of the hexagon in Figure 6.1(a) form a geodesic in X and by (6.2) so do consecutive sides of the hexagon in Figure 6.1(b) – thus they intersect only at the endpoints. Since the distance between opposite vertices of the hexagon in (a) is twice the length of its side, the non-consecutive sides are also disjoint in (b) (again by (6.2)). Thus the closed path being the concatenation $\xi * \zeta * \chi * gh^2(\xi^{-1}) * g^2h(\zeta^{-1}) * gh^{-1}(\chi^{-1})$ is a cycle in X. Let S be a minimal surface spanning this cycle and denote by $y_1, \ldots, y_6 \in \partial\Delta_S$ vertices mapping to vertices of the hexagon in (b).

By Lemma 4.2 the simplicial disc Δ_S is systolic, i.e. every internal vertex has nonpositive defect. Since any two consecutive sides of the hexagon in (b) form a geodesic in X, any vertex $v \in \partial\Delta_S$ is adjacent to at least 2 triangles and $\partial\Delta_S$ is a union of three geodesic arcs: $[y_1, y_3]$, $[y_3, y_5]$, $[y_5, y_1]$. By Remark 3.1 the sum of defects along any of the three arcs is at most 1. As the sum of defects at internal vertices of Δ_S is nonpositive, and by the Gauss-Bonnet Lemma the sum of defects at all vertices of Δ_S is 6, we have that each internal vertex has defect 0 (is adjacent to exactly 6 triangles) and defects at y_1, y_3, y_5 are equal to 1. Similarly we prove that the defects at y_2, y_4, y_6 are equal to 1 (as in Figure 6.1(b)).

Since $[y_{i-1}, y_i] \cup [y_i, y_{i+1}]$ and $[y_i, y_{i+1}] \cup [y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}]$ are geodesics in Δ_S, for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ (using the cyclic order of indices), for any vertex $w \in (y_i, y_{i+1}) \subset \partial\Delta_S$ of defect 1 there are vertices of negative defects $w' \in (y_i, w)$ and $w'' \in (w, y_{i+1})$. Moreover, any two vertices $w_1, w_2 \in (y_i, y_{i+1}) \subset \partial\Delta_S$ of defects 1 are separated by a vertex of negative defect. Thus either the sum of defects along (y_i, y_{i+1}) is negative or there is no positive vertices (and also no negative vertices) on (y_i, y_{i+1}). As the sum of defects at vertices of $\partial\Delta_S$ is 6 and defects at y_i are equal to 1, for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, it follows that there are no nonzero vertices on $\partial\Delta_S$ different from $y_1, \ldots, y_6$. Thus Δ_S is a regular equilaterally triangulated hexagon (isomorphic to the one in Figure 6.1(a)).

Let $H < G$ be the subgroup generated by g and h. As H satisfies (6.1), X/H is a locally 6-large simplicial complex. As a quotient of S we obtain a simplicial map $f : T \to X/H$, where T is a triangulation of a torus such that each vertex of T is adjacent to exactly 6 triangles. If there is a vertex $y \in T$ such that $f(T_y)$ can be filled without internal vertices, we can apply the minimizing procedure from Step 1 (starting with operation (c)), resulting in a triangulation of a torus T' and a simplicial map $f' : T' \to X/H$ such that the universal covering $\widetilde{f'} : \widetilde{T'} \to X$ is a flat F' in X at finite Hausdorff distance from F. Moreover, F' has a smaller number of H-orbits of vertices than F, what is impossible as by Corollary 5.5 retractions r_F and $r_{F'}$ induces isomorphic actions on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$.

Thus the universal covering $\widetilde{T}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ and $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle \to X$ is a locally isometric immersion, so by Theorem 5.2 it is an H-invariant flat. Moreover, by the construction $v \in \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{f}$, so by Step 2 there is a G-invariant flat passing through v, what completes the proof of the inclusion $\operatorname{Min}(G) \subset Th(F)$.

Step 5: *G is a free abelian group of rank 2.*

Assume $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $n > 2$. Let $H < G$ be a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$. We have already proved that $\operatorname{Min}(H) = Th(F)$ for an H-invariant flat $F \subset X$. Since every $g \in G$ centralizes H, it preserves $\operatorname{Min}(H)$, so the thickening $Th(F)$ is G-invariant. Since G is torsion-free, the retraction $r : Th(F) \to F \cong \mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ defined in Theorem 5.4 induces a free action of G on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ (Corollary 5.5). However, there are no free actions of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on $\mathbb{E}^2_\triangle$ for $n > 2$. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let a group G act simplicially and properly discontinuously on a uniformly locally finite systolic complex X.*

(1) *If G is a virtually abelian group of rank 2, then there is a flat F, unique up to flat equivalence, such that $Th(F)$ is G-invariant.*

(2) *If $H < G$ is a maximal virtually abelian rank 2 subgroup, then there is a flat F, unique up to flat equivalence, such that* $\mathrm{Stab}_G(Th(F)) = H$.

Proof: There is a finite index subgroup $A < G$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and a finite index normal subgroup $N < G$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (we can put $N = \bigcap_{g \in G} g^{-1}Ag$). By the Flat Torus Theorem there is an N-invariant flat F in X, unique up to flat equivalence. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_k$ be representants of all cosets of N in G. For $1 \leq i \leq k$ flats $F_i = g_i(F)$ are N-invariant (as $g_i^{-1}Ng_i = N$), so by the Flat Torus Theorem they are equivalent to F. Thus G stabilizes the thickening $Th(F)$, what proves (1).

To prove (2) consider the H-invariant thickening $Th(F)$. By Corollary 5.5 the induced action of $\mathrm{Stab}_G(Th(F))$ on $F \cong \mathbb{E}^2_{\triangle}$ is properly discontinuous and as the stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_G(Th(F))$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ it is also cocompact. Thus $\mathrm{Stab}_G(Th(F)$ is a virtually abelian rank 2 group and (2) follows from maximality of H. $\square$

References

[BH] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 319, Springer, 1999.

[E1] T. Elsner, *Systolic groups with isolated flats*, preprint.

[E2] T. Elsner, *Isometries of systolic spaces*, preprint.

[E3] T. Elsner, *Asymptotic cones of systolic spaces*, in preparation.

[Ha] F. Haglund, *Complexes simpliciaux hyperboliques de grande dimension*, preprint, Prepublication Orsay 71, 2003.

[Hr1] G. C. Hruska, *Nonpositively curved 2-complexes with isolated flats*, Geometry&Topology, **8** (2004), pp. 205–275.

[Hr2] G. C. Hruska, *Hadamard spaces with isolated flats*, Geometry&Topology, **9** (2005), pp. 1501–1538.

[G] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory (G. Niblo and M. Roller eds.), LMS Lecture Notes Series **182**, vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press (1993).

[JS1] T. Januszkiewicz, J. Świątkowski, *Simplicial nonpositive curvature*, Publ. Math. IHES **104** (2006) no. 1, pp. 1–85.

[JS2] T. Januszkiewicz, J. Świątkowski, *Filling invariants in systolic complexes and groups*, Geometry&Topology, **11** (2007), pp. 727–758.

[P] P. Przytycki, *Systolic groups acting on complexes with no flats are hyperbolic*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **193** (2007), pp. 277–283.

[Sp] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.